### SF CAREER SERVICE BOARD

The SF Career Service Board has established a panel to make competitive evaluations of SF employees. The SF Career Service Evaluation Panel uses a Competitive Evaluation Listing to determine by a point system (based on 100 points) the competitive ranking of employees annually. A separate Competitive Evaluation Listing is prepared for each grade containing the names of all employees in the grade. Points are given employees for the following elements:

#### Performance

An employee is given points for the Overall Fitness Rating on his most current fitness report (40 to 50 for overall rating of "0"; 30 to 39 for "S"; 20 to 29 for "P"; 10 to 19 for "A"). Other fitness reports may be taken into consideration.

#### Potential

The indicated ability, by reason of education and demonstrated performance, of the employee to assume greater responsibilities and/or duties is rated by points (maximum of 10) based upon the ratings given for potential for each of the four skills (Budget, General Finance, Systems and Audit).

#### Qualifications

The employee is given points (up to 15) based on his education, training, related non-Agency work experience and language ability.

#### Flexibility

An employee's capability, personal desire, medical problems, and family situation for rotational assignments, both domestic and foreign, is rated with a maximum of five (5) points given for unlimited flexibility. "Unlimited flexibility" is the employee's capability to be assigned and his sincere willingness to accept any assignment at any time, if the same conditions and personal circumstances which pertain at the time of indication continue. Less points will be given to the degree that there is less actual capability and commitment.



#### Length of Service

One point is given for each approximate two years' service qualifying toward retirement, not to exceed ten (10) points.

#### Other Considerations

Up to ten (10) points may be given for demonstrated skills, versatility, experience and unique or special qualities which have not been reflected in the other elements.

The Competitive Evaluation Panel also provides an inventory of personnel showing the kinds and degree of skills employees possess to be used in making position assignments. There are four (4) established skills for the SF Career Service (Budget, General Finance, Audit, and Systems and Procedures.) The employee is given a rating of High, Medium, Low, or None in each skill for the degree of skill demonstrated on the job and the degree of potential he is deemed to have in the skill.

The above Competitive Evaluations and Skills Listings are utilized as a guide by the Office of Finance Support Staff and the Chairman, SF Career Service Board in determining the training, assignment, and promotion of SF employees.

The monthly Career Service Grade Authorization (adjusted to current date) is used in determining the number of promotions that can reasonably be made in each grade. Past experience reflects approximately 50% of the available headroom being used for promotions except in grade GS-13 wherein it has been necessary to make promotions in excess of headroom because in several instances a certain grade individual is transferred to do a specific job (e.g., GS-13) and then the slot is reduced to GS-12 or GS-11. This results in a reduced headroom at the higher grade level since it would not be practical to remove the individual from the position until his tour is completed, particularly if he happens to be overseas.

25X9A2

25X9A2

The size and composition of the SF Career Service, approximately as compared with the size and composition of the Office of Finance T/O, requires frequent rotation of most SF employees in the GS-7 through GS-14 grades. The selection and placement of employees in particular jobs in connection with rotations must be a competitive process if promotions are to be competitive. Therefore, great emphasis and attention is given to the selection of individuals for rotation. The following steps are taken in connection with each rotation:

a. A determination of the skills, qualifications and experience required for the particular position.

- b. A review of the employees in the grade of position, and the next lower grade, who possess the necessary qualifications and flexibility for the position.
- c. A review of each employee's standing on the competitive roster, and a narrowing down of the candidates for the position.
- d. Consideration as to whether the position affords an opportunity for the employee for growth and the acquisition of additional skills and experience.
- e. Review and consultation with Area Divisions or others concerned and selection of the final candidate for the position.

Available headroom in a particular grade has a distinct bearing on this selection and rotation process. For example, if the position in question is a GS-13 and there were no headroom in GS-13's it would be necessary to consider only GS-13's for the position, although there might be a number of highly capable GS-12's who deserve consideration. Conversely, we occasionally must place a GS-12 in a GS-13 position because qualified GS-13's are unavailable, (because they are in process of rotation, home leave, training, etc.), at the proper time to fill the position. Both sides of this situation present a problem. On the one hand, an individual is denied the opportunity to utilize his talents and capabilities fully and feels that he is being denied full opportunity for development. On the other side his talents are being utilized, but he is denied promotion, and may feel that he is being exploited.

## SPECIAL REVIEW GS-13

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	Age	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later	<u>Age</u>	Good Employee at This Grade	Age	Marginal	Age
1 3 2 2 1 4 2 1 3 2 1 1 2	38 39 41 42 43 46 47 50 51 52 53 56	1 2 3 3 1 3 3 2 1 1	37 38 39 40 43 44 45 47 50 54	3 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1	41 42 44 45 48 49 51 52 53 56 61	1 1 2-	55 60
No. of em Curren No. of em Total No. Estima	ployees t blocks ployees warrant ted Head	l March 1967 deserving promotion age developing who will ting promotion by 19 droom as of 1970 employees blocked fr	warrant j 970		+5 <u>25</u>	20 20 40 +8 32	· ·

# SPECIAL REVIEW GS-12

Deserves Promoti But is Blocked		Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later	<u>Age</u>	Good Employee at This Grade	<u>Age</u>	<u>Marginal</u>	<u>A</u> g
1 1 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	35 36 39 40 41 42 43 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	1 1 1 5 5 3 1 1 2 2	34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 45 49	1 1 2 1 1 1 3 1	43 48 51 52 53 54 556 58 59	1 1 1	41 46 62
Headro No. o: Cw No. o: Total	rrent blocka f employees No. warrant timated Head	deserving promotion	. warrant <sub>1</sub> 70		-3 <u>18</u>	18 <u>25</u> 43 + <u>10</u> 33	

### SPECIAL REVIEW GS-11

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	Age	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later	Age	Good Employee at This Grade	<u>Age</u>	<u>Marginal</u>	Ag	ge
2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1	33 35 36 37 38 42 43 44 55 59	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	28 30 33 34 35 37 38 39 40 41 42 51	1 1 3 2 1 1 1 2	36 39 43 44 45 49 50 51 52 58	1 1 2	41 50	
No. of er	as of 3 mployees nt block	l March 1967 deserving promotion age			+5 20	15		

No. of employees developing who will warrant promotion by 1970

Estimated employees blocked from promotion

Total No. warranting promotion by 1970 Estimated Headroom as of 1970

## SPECIAL REVIEW GS-10 and GS-09

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	Age	Developing and Will Warrant A Promotion Later	<u>Age</u>	Good Employee at This Grade	Age	<u>Marginal</u>	Age
GS-10 1	- <sub>33</sub>	<u>GS-10</u>	30 47	<u>GS-10</u> 1	36		
3. 1	33 36 37	1	47	1	36 44		
1 1	39 42	<u>GS-09</u> 1	26	<u>GS-09</u> 1	31	3	
1	44	1	29	1	34		
<u>1</u> 1	46 47	3	31	1	37		í
1 1	47 52	1	32 34	1	37 38 41		•
ī,	52 54	3 2	3 <del>5</del>	i	4 <u>4</u>		
		1	37 38	1	45 46		
<u>GS -09</u>	27	1	38	3	46		
3 2 1	31 32	1	39 40	4 1	47 48		
ī	32 40	i	44	2	49 49		
1	41	1	57	2	50		
1	42	12		1			
1 1	43 45	_ / /		1 2	54 55		
ī	46			2	51. 54 55 56		
1	50			ī	57		
1	51			1	57 59 60		
13				1 2	60 61		<b>a</b> it
. (-		•		۷	ΘŢ		$\P_{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{L}}}$
Headroom	as of 31	March 1967		35	+1		
No. of en	ployees	deserving promotion		,	<u>25</u>		
Curren	nt blocks	<b>.</b> ge				24	
No. of en	mployees	developing who will	warrant p	promotion by 1970		<u>19</u>	
		ing promotion by 19' broom as of 1970	<i>(</i> 0			43	
		employees blocked fro	om promoti	ion		+ <u>30</u> 7	
				and the second s		t t	

# SPECIAL REVIEW GS-8 and GS-7

2 30 1 2 33 3 25 1 32 1 43 1 26 1 33 1 2 48 4 27 2 35 3 28 2 36 7 1 2 29 1 38 2 2 30 1 2 30 1 2 30 2 31 2 2 30 2 36 7 1 2 29 1 38 2 2 30 1 1 29 2 31 1 50 1 34 1 51 1 35 1 57 1 1 35 1 57 1 1 41 2 4 1 37 1 24 1 37 1 24 3 46 3 25 2 46 2 26 2 50 1 4 29 2 31 2 7 1 4 48 2 2 26 2 26 2 50 1 4 29 2 31 2 7 7 1 48 2 9 44 3 46 3 25 2 50 1 4 29 2 31 2 7 7 3 51 4 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Deserves Promotion But is Blocked  GS-8  1  1  1  1  2	Age 23 25 26 27 28 30	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Late GS-7 2 3 1 1 1 1 1		Age 24 25 26 27 28 29	Good Employee at  This Grade  GS-8  1  1  1  1  GS-7	<u>Age</u> 52 59 60 62	Marginal GS-8 1 1
2 35 2 36 2 36 1 38 1 29 1 38 1 40 2 31 1 50 1 34 1 51 1 51 1 37 1 57 1 41 2 44 3 25 1 27 1 45 3 46 3 25 2 26 2 26 2 50 1 27 4 29 2 31 2 35 46 8 2 26 8 2 50 8 2 50 8 2 50 8 2 50 8 2 50 8 2 50 8 3 51 8 6 6 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1	32	1		33 43	1	26	
1 38 2 30 1 40 2 31 1 50 1 34 1 51 1 35 1 57 1 1 37 1 57 1 41 2 44 3 25 7 1 45 3 25 1 27 1 45 3 46 3 25 2 50 1 48 2 2 66 1 27 1 48 2 2 66 1 27 1 48 2 2 50 1 53 2 55  Headroom as of 31 March 1967 +5  No. of employees deserving promotion 26 Current blockage 31 No. of employees developing who will warrant promotion by 1970 Total No. warranting promotion by 1970 Total No. warranting promotion by 1970 Estimated Headroom as of 1970 Estimated employees blocked	2	35			48	3	28	
1 50 1 34 1 51 1 51 1 35 1 37 1 37 1 37 1 41 68-7 1 24 3 46 3 25 2 26 2 50 1 27 4 29 2 31 2 35 2 31 2 35  Headroom as of 31 March 1967 +5 No. of employees deserving promotion of current blockage 31 No. of employees deserving promotion by 1970 Current promotion by 1970 Estimated Headroom as of 1970 +42 Estimated employees blocked	1	38				2	30	
1 54 1 37 1 41 2 14 6S-7 1 24 3 46 3 25 2 26 1 28 2 50 1 48 2 26 1 27 4 29 2 31 2 35 4 6 1 537 1 44 4 55 3 46 3 51 4 88 5 2 50 5 1 57 4 6 7 5 7 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	ı	50				1	34	
CS-7		54				1	37	
1		71				2	1414	
2 26 1 27 1 27 1 4 29 2 31 2 31 2 35 Headroom as of 31 March 1967 +5 No. of employees deserving promotion 36 Current blockage 31 No. of employees developing who will warrant promotion by 1970 Total No. warranting promotion by 1970 Estimated Headroom as of 1970 Estimated employees blocked	1					3	46	
4   29   1   53   54   53   54   55   55   55   55	2	26				2	50	
Headroom as of 31 March 1967	Ц	29				1	51 53	
No. of employees deserving promotion 36 Current blockage No. of employees developing who will warrant promotion by 1970 Total No. warranting promotion by 1970 Estimated Headroom as of 1970 Estimated employees blocked	2	35				2	55	
Current blockage  No. of employees developing who will warrant promotion by 1970 Total No. warranting promotion by 1970 Estimated Headroom as of 1970 Estimated employees blocked	Headroom as of 31 March	1967	+5			2	<b>5</b> 8	
warrant promotion by 1970  Total No. warranting promotion by 1970  Estimated Headroom as of 1970  Estimated employees blocked	Current blockage		31			1	59 61	
Estimated Headroom as of 1970 +42 45 Estimated employees blocked	warrant promotion by 1	970	13	1.1.		<u>1</u>	66	
	Estimated Headroom a Estimated employe	s of 1970		+42	,	43		

25X9A2 Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600120028-7 Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600120028-7

1 Consideration of the Conside	OUTING	AND	RECORE	SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional)	E - 17 - 1 - 1 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -				
		, land			
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.	
Acting Chief, SS/Fina	ance	10	*	DATE F. A	
1211 Key	1 A-11 A-	ar arpin i codi.	2972	5 April 1967	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DA	TE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from wh	
boundings	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each commer	
1. Deputy Director/Finance 1212 Key	1 80 F O O O S 1 10000	4/5/67	ANB		
2.A-DD/Personnel for Planning and Research 512 Magazine Blo		67	V. J.		
512 Magazine Blo	g.				
y and the second se	- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	12 0 2 0 0	,	The second sect of the section of the section of the section of	
funder for		~		6)	
<b>5.</b> V		6			
. <b>.</b>		=		2	
7					
9.					
2 EV 100-127-1 - 1 1000-72 to 101 1000 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 1					
10.	100	-			
Management terrestation values of the state of the state of the		A STATE OF THE STA	9		
The second secon				1	
	=				
12.				-	
	2 1 1 1	3.			
13.				1	
14.		+		1	
				*	
15.	*			1	